

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/593,911	05/11/2007	Steven A. Boyd	AV 35	6038
Henry D. Cole	7590 11/13/2009 man	EXAMINER		
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C. 714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			MCDOWELL, BRIAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593,911 BOYD ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	BRIAN MCDOWELL	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum shallory period is reply within the set or extended period for prely with period with the set or extended period for prely with partial with.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing camed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/22/2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/22/2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-22 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/CS)		lo(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application.
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	·
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summer.	Port of Paper No Mail Data 20001103

Application/Control Number: 10/593,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1, 2, and 4-11, drawn to compounds of the formula I and simple compositions thereof wherein ring A is 3-pyridinyl (see claim 1).
- Claims 1, 2, and 4-11, drawn to compounds of the formula I and simple compositions thereof wherein ring A is 2-pyrazinyl (see claim 1).
- III. Claim 12, drawn to complex compositions comprising compounds in either groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) along with additional therapeutic agents.
- IV. Claim 13, drawn to a method for the inhibition of transketolase activity in a biological sample or patient via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) to a sample or patient in need thereof.
- V. Claim 14, drawn to a method of reducing levels of ribulose/ribose 5phosphate in a cell via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) to a cell in need thereof.

Art Unit: 1624

VI. Claim 15, drawn to a method of inhibiting nucleic acid synthesis in a cell via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) to a cell in need thereof.

- VII. Claim 16, drawn to a method for inhibiting cell proliferation via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) to a cell in need thereof.
- VIII. Claim 17, drawn to a method for increasing apoptosis in a tumor cell via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) to a cell in need thereof.
- IX. Claims 18-22, drawn to a method for reducing tumor growth in a patient via administering compounds of groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group) and an additional agent to a patient in need thereof.

Note: Election of a single disclosed chemical specie is required for any group elected. Furthermore, a single disclosed therapeutic agent is required for group III or group IX if elected.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The situation involving the so-called Markush practice wherein a single claim defines alternatives (chemical or non-chemical) is also governed by PCT Rule 13.2. In this special situation, the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in Application/Control Number: 10/593.911

Art Unit: 1624

PCT Rule 13.2, shall be considered to be met when the alternatives are of a similar nature. When the Markush grouping is for alternatives of chemical compounds, they shall be regarded as being of a similar nature where the following criteria are fulfilled:

- (A) All alternatives have a common property or activity; and
- (B)(1) A common structure is present, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives; or
- (B) (2) In cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

In paragraph (B)(1), above, the words "significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives" refer to cases where the compounds share a common chemical structure which occupies a large portion of their structures, or in case the compounds have in common only a small portion of their structures, the commonly shared structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion in view of existing prior art, and the common structure is essential to the common property or activity. The structural element may be a single component or a combination of individual components linked together.

In paragraph (B)(2), above, the words "recognized class of chemical compounds" mean that there is an expectation from the knowledge in the art that members of the class will behave in the same way in the context of the claimed invention. In other words, each member could be substituted one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved.

In the above case, the instantly claimed complex compositions encompass a myriad of therapeutic agents that do not share a common chemical structure.

Furthermore, due to their divergent structural nature, one having ordinary skill in the art would presume that when combined with compounds of the claimed invention, each combination would not function in a synergistic manner to give the same intended result. Therefore, these claims can be said to lack unity a priori as there is no technical feature common to all the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,911

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/593,911

Art Unit: 1624

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN MCDOWELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,911 Page 7

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B.E.M./ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1624